



SUSTAINMENT

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3500 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3500

MEMORANDUM FOR SUPPLY PROCESS REVIEW COMMITTEE

SUBJECT: Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards Change 1471, Addition of Contractor and Government Entity (CAGE) Code in the 947I Inventory Adjustment Implementation Convention (Supply)

The Defense Logistics Management Standards change, as outlined in the attachment, is approved for implementation.

Addressees may direct questions to Wesley Best, e-mail: DEDSO.Supply@dla.mil. Others must contact their designated PRC representative available at <https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Committees/Contacts/>.

Leigh E. Method, SES
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
for Logistics

Attachment:
As stated

cc:
OUSD(C)
DLA J6

Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards Change 1471 Addition of Contractor and Government Entity (CAGE) Code in the 947I Inventory Adjustment Implementation Convention

1. ORIGINATING SERVICE/AGENCY AND POC INFORMATION

a. Technical POC: Pam Rooney, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Defense Pricing, Contracting, and Acquisition Policy (ASD(A)/DPCAP), pamela.rooney.ctr@mail.mil.

b. Functional POC: Carol Brown, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Defense Pricing, Contracting, and Acquisition Policy (ASD(A)/DPCAP), carol.a.brown160.civ@mail.mil.

2. REASON FOR CHANGE:

a. Bottom Line Up-Front: Currently, the Defense Logistics Management Standard (DLMS) 947I Inventory Adjustment transaction accommodates contractor Department of Defense Activity Address Code (DoDAAC), Routing Identification Code (RIC), and Uniform Resource Locator (URL) in the data element that identifies the contractor organization. The Contractor and Government Entity (CAGE) code needs to be added to enable proper processing of inventory adjustments while items are in the contractor's custody.

Action: Component Accountable Property Systems of Record (APSR) managing Government Furnished Property (GFP) must be able to receive the DLMS 947I (Version 4030), with the CAGE code, from the GFP Module application housed in the Procurement Integrated Enterprise Environment (PIEE).

b. Background: The PIEE GFP Module application processes all Property Loss and Plant Clearance cases when government property is in the custody of contractors. When a case is closed, it indicates item(s) have been removed from, or added to, the Department of Defense (DoD) inventory. This event must be transmitted to the applicable APSR so that property and financial records are correct.

Property is authorized as GFP under a contract. A contract is awarded to a CAGE code. The CAGE code has authority to obtain government property and when the GFP is lost or is excess to the contract, the Property Loss case or Plant Clearance inventory schedule is submitted by the contractor under the contract number and CAGE code related to the contract. At case closure, the DLMS 947I (Version 4030) transaction is transmitted to the APSR.

c. A contractor DoDAAC is not required for GFP to be provided to a contractor. The DLMS inventory adjustment transaction needs to accommodate identification of the custodial organization by CAGE code.

3. CHANGE IN DETAIL:

a. Technical Details: In the DLMS 947I (Version 4030) 1/N101/0400 segment, the SB (Storage Area) data element can be used to identify either the CAGE code or DoDAAC of the contractor when the items are in contractor custody prior to an inventory event. To accommodate CAGE code, the 1/N103/400 segment will expand to include qualifier code 33.

b. Revised Transaction Flow: The transaction flow will not change regardless of the contractor identifier being DoDAAC or CAGE code.

4. IMPLEMENTATION TARGET: The GFP Module is ready to create Property Loss and Plant Clearance inventory adjustments today. Note that the use of the Property Loss and Plant Clearance capabilities are required by the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS). This is not an optional process. Some APSRs (e.g., Defense Property and Accounting System (DPAS)) accept 947I (Version 4030) transactions currently. This change is needed as soon as possible to enable development and interface testing.

5. TECHNICAL IMPACT:

a. Additions or Changes to Data Elements: Add qualifier code 33 to DLMS 947I (Version 4030) 1/N103/0400 to indicate CAGE.

b. Automated Information Systems (AIS):

(1) PIEE: GFP Module update.

(2) DAAS: Update mapping to add qualifier code 33 to DLMS 947I (Version 4030) 1/N103/0400 to indicate CAGE.

(3) Component APSRs accounting for GFP must be able to receive the DLMS 947I (Version 4030) with the CAGE code, from the PIEE GFP Module.

(4) If not already accomplished, Components are to cease using the DLMS 947I (Version 4010) and migrate to the DLMS 947I (Version 4030) as soon as possible.

6. PUBLICATION/POLICY IMPACT:

a. Defense Logistics Manual (DLM) 4000.25: N/A.

b. Non-DLM 4000.25 Publications: N/A.

7. ASSUMPTIONS: None.

8. FINANCIAL IMPROVEMENT AND AUDIT REMEDIATION (FIAR), MATERIAL WEAKNESS, AND AUDIT-RELATED INFORMATION:

a. Notices of Findings and Recommendations (NFR): N/A

b. Corrective Action Plan (CAP) References: N/A

c. Other FIAR, Material Weakness, and Audit-Related Information: Material Weakness: Government Property in the Possession of Contractors. Implementation of the GFP Module and subsequent transactions is part of the corrective action plan to address the material weakness.

9. END-TO-END TESTING REQUIREMENTS: Component APSRs accounting for GFP must be able to receive the DLMS 947I (Version 4030), with the CAGE code, from the PIEE GFP Module.

10. REFERENCES: DLM 4000.25

11. PROPOSED FUNDING REQUIREMENTS:

	Component	Response/Comment
1.	DLA	No Comment Provided.
2.	US Air Force	No Comment Provided.
3.	US Army	No Comment Provided.
4.	USMC	No Comment Provided.
5.	US Navy	No Comment Provided.
6.	DAAS	No Comment Provided.
7.	USTRANSCOM	No Comment Provided.

12. IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE AND ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE:

	Component	Response/Comment
1.	DLA	Concur with comments (See Enclosure 2)

2.	US Air Force	Concur with comments (See Enclosure 2)
3.	US Army	No Comment Provided.
4.	USMC	Concur as written
5.	US Navy	Concur without comment
6.	DAAS	No Comment Provided.
7.	USTRANSCOM	No Comment Provided.

Enclosure 1

Revise the following DLMS Implementation Convention (IC) as shown.

Changes are identified by *bold italics* and deletions by single strikethrough text.

#	Location	DLMS 947I Version 4030 Inventory Adjustment	Reason
1.	DLMS Introductory Note	<u>Update DLMS Introductory Note 6 as follows:</u> <i>- ADC 1471, Addition of Contractor and Government Entity Code (CAGE) in Defense Logistics Management Standard (DLMS) Inventory Adjustment 947I Implementation Convention (IC)</i>	Identifies the IC as modified by this change.
2.	1/N103/0400	<u>Add new Qualifier 33:</u> 33 Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) <i>DLMS Note: Use this to enable users to process mandatory inventory adjustments while in the custody of contractors using the CAGE code to all APSRS.</i>	CAGE code is being added to enable proper processing of inventory adjustments while items are in the contractor's custody.

Enclosure 2

PDC 1471 Consolidated Comment Matrix:

Component Input			Action Officer Response		
ORG	COMMENT	RECOMMENDED CHANGE	COMMENTOR	Accept or Reject	DEDSO Response
DLA	The PDC is asking for changes to the 947I format, but the AX1 and AX2 are mentioned extensively. However, AX1 and AX2 use 517G format, not 947I. The actual changes being implemented are confusing.	If the change is being made to 947I then include the DIC code of the associated transaction (D8, D9, DAC etc.) to differentiate it from the AX1 and AX2. also notes should be made that the AX1 and AX2 are not changing because of this PDC to add further clarity. as written, it is unclear if the AX1 and AX2 are changing in addition to the 947I.	Brian Anderson	Accept	The DIC Code associated to the D8 and D9 will be affected by the 947I format.
DLA	Same comment as above. AX1 and AX2 are mentioned but they do not use 947I format. Confusion about what is being changed.	as above, add clarification about what DIC code matches to the 947I and that the AX1 and AX2 are not changing as a result of this PDC	Brian Anderson	Accept	The DIC Code associated to the D8 and D9 will be affected by the 947I format.
DLA	Same comment as above. AX1 and AX2 are mentioned but they do not use 947I format. Confusion about what is being changed.	as above, add clarification about what DIC code matches to the 947I and that the AX1 and AX2 are not changing as a result of this PDC	Brian Anderson	Accept	The DIC Code associated to the D8 and D9 will be affected by the 947I format.
DLA	Intended scope is unclear	The scope should be clarified to whether the PDC applies to all 947I's or just the GFP Module 947i's	Brian Anderson	Accept	Although the ADC talks specific to the GFP Module, the CAGE can be used by any organization from this ADC to an APSR
Air Force	A4NL concurs with implementation overall but has one comment/addition: PDC 1471 supports 947I inventory adjustments for OSD GFP Handshakes (previous tasker) HS #3/4/5a/5b/5c in which the GFP module will return the 947I to the AF APSR		William Wenzel	Accept	There are no changes recommended.

	<p>to adjust inventory levels of materiel in the hands of contractors. The affected systems that are readying system changes to support the handshakes are: AF authorized APSRs impacted:</p> <p>DPAS (DLA Owned) – NA</p> <p>DSS/WMS (DLA Owned) – NA</p> <p>Stock Control System (SCS): POC: Joshua Wright/Lindsay Butler ECD: 6/18/2025 Publications: AFI 23-119 FIAR Issues: No known FIAR CAPS in process.</p> <p>Theater Integrated Combat Munitions System (TICMS): POC: Damion Englen ECD: 3/21/2026 Publications: None known FIAR Issues: No known FIAR CAPS in process.</p> <p>CAV-AF: Not the APSR but would process a 9471 from GFP; not DLMS compliant/to be replaced by PIEE-GFP module POC: Ms. Danielle Meeksharshaw, AFLCMC/GBS (PM) ECD: As implemented, beginning FY27 Publication impact: Unknown FIAR: No known FIAR issue concerning the use of the CAGE in transactions. Each Contractor would have an associated DoDAAC/RIC</p>				
Army	No response/concur	N/A	N/A		No response within 30 days represents concurrence.
Marine Corps	Concur without comment	N/A	MGySgt Otey		As noted.
Navy	ERMS is impacted Navy System, but we haven't established a timeline as of yet.	N/A	Brent Sullivan	Accept	There are no recommended changes.
DAAS	No response/concur	N/A	N/A		As noted.
USTRANCOM	No response	N/A	N/A		As noted.